Processing of Iraqi Attapulgite For Using as Drilling Muds: A Comparison Study
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Abstract— Clay mineral processing is in charge on quality, quantity and functional properties of final produced clay. In this work, Iraqi attapulgite (Palygorskite) clay was processed with dry sieving, dispersing agent and wet sieving processes to make it suitable in oil and gas wells drilling fluids preparation. Yield of clay (quality) and yield of process (quantity) were taken as preferring criteria in choosing the proper process of Iraqi attapulgite clay preparation. XRD and FESEM tests were used for mineralogical and morphological identification respectively. OFITE viscometer was used to measure the rheological properties of the drilling fluids prepared with the produced attapulgite from the investigated processes. The results of this work showed that dry sieving process was failed in attapulgite separation. Moreover, dry grinding was a destructive process of the attapulgite crystalline structure which reduced the rheological properties up to 80%. The results showed that the dispersing agents process produces attapulgite clay with higher quality (101.94 and 89.87 barrel/ton) with fresh and salt water respectively and at low quantity of about 7%. While wet sieving process produces attapulgite clay with quality equals to (94.21 and 79.93 barrel/ton) with fresh and salt water respectively and quantity reaching 40%. It follows that wet sieving was the recommended process in preparing Iraqi attapulgite to be suitable for oil and gas wells drilling fluid.
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1. Introduction

Mineral processing is a main branch in the science of Extractive Metallurgy. Extractive metallurgy can be defined as the science and art of extracting minerals from their ores, purifying and preparing them for the required applications [14]. Generally, mineral processing consists of two main primary operations comminution and concentration as well as other consecutive secondary processes involved in mineral processing like sampling and dewatering [4]. However, the essential targets for mineral processing are liberate (by size reduction) and concentrate (separation) minerals from their ore through applying suitable mechanical treatment, these two targets are achieved successively to obtain the desired end product and with high economic feasibility [8,14].

In petroleum sector, drilling fluid is used during drilling process for many purposes such as lubrication, cooling, cleaning the drill hole, etc. Bentonite is the main content of drilling fluid. In Iraqi petroleum sector, this bentonite imports from other countries. Indeed, during drilling through salt formation, the drilling fluid lost its activity and to solve this some chemical additives should be added to it. Currently Iraqi national drilling company searches for other local materials. Hence, the aim of this work is to find an acceptable local alternative material to tackle the above issues. The attapulgite (Palygorskite) clay was suggested as new local alternative for two reasons. First, attapulgite clay has lower sensitivity to salt concentrations, in other word; the desired rheological properties keep relatively constant even at high electrolyte concentrations. Second, Attapulgite clay is so available in many Iraqi regions (Bahar AL Najaf region and Akashat deposit). There are many methods used to separate quartz from attapulgite as well as increasing its purification. The selection of the proper and suitable separation process is not an easy matter, therefore; quality and quantity were adopted as criteria to assist in process selection.
2. Experimental work

2.1 Materials

Samples of attapulgite rock were taken from the Bahar AL Najaf region in the Iraqi western desert. Tetrasodium pyrophosphate (Na₄P₂O₇) purchased from Rommel co. – Germany. magnesium hydroxide Mg(OH)₂ purchased from CDH Co. – India. acetic acid purchased from Alpha Chemika – India, distilled and deionized water purchased from Al-Mansoura Co.- Iraq.

2.2 Samples preparation

Three processes were applied to separate quartz from attapulgite clay, dry sieving, dispersing agents and wet sieving process. The operating parameters (milling speed, milling time, stirring speed, stirring time, mesh size, and acid type and its concentration) for each process were fixed through other separating works.

Dry sieving process

According to particles size, soil was classified into gravel (> 2mm), sand (2 - 0.05mm), silt (0.05 -0.002mm), clay (< 0.002mm) [2]. This classification was invested in quartz separation process from clay using dry or wet sieving process.

In this work, dry sieving process was implemented through the following steps, first, attapulgite rocks were crushed to ≤1cm³ particles size by using jaw crusher machine. Second, crushed attapulgite was dried at 105°C for 3 hours using Binder drying oven. Third, dried attapulgite was milled by ball mill machine at 300rpm for 15minutes. Later, sieving process was carried out at different mesh sizes (38, 53 and 75µm) by vibratory sieve for 5minutes. Figure 1 shows the process layout for dry sieving process.

Dispersing agents process

The quartz was separate from attapulgite clay using dispersing agents process. According to particles size; two types of attapulgite raw were used, crushed clay≤1cm³ and milled clay≤75µm. In this process, 2.8g of tetra sodium pyrophosphate was dissolved with 432ml of deionize water with stirring velocity720 rpm for 1 minute. Then 1.4g of Mg(OH)₂ was added with continues stirring for another 1 minute. 140g of dry attapulgite raw was also added gradually. The mixing was agitated for 5 minutes at 720 rpm and for 5 minutes at 920 rpm. The resultant suspension was poured into a half gallon jar. Deionized water (700ml) was added to the jar and the suspension were stirred for5 minutes at 920 rpm. The obtained suspension was left to precipitate for (3- 5) hours [9].

The final produced suspension, contains (+ 3µm) particles; was wet sieved at 38µm mesh size then poured in to stainless steel pan and dried by Binder drying oven at 105°C for sufficient time (3 hours). Then the obtained clay was acid treated to remove calcite mineral. Figure 2 shows the process layout of dispersing agents process.

Wet sieving process

Wet sieving was utilized to separate quartz from attapulgite clay based on particle size. In this work, crushed attapulgite raw (≤1cm³) was wet milled at solid / liquid ratio 1 to10 wt./v using full nutrition broken machine. The mixing was stirred at 1800 rpm for 20 minutes then wet sieved within 38 µm mesh size. Finally, thermal dewatering was implemented using Binder drying oven at 105°C for 3 hours. Then the attapulgite clay was concentrated by acid treatment. Figure 3 shows the process layout for wet sieving process.

2.3 Acid treatment Process

Purification process was adopted to remove calcite mineral from attapulgite produced from the above processes (dispersing agentsand wet sieving). This process was implemented in the following sequence [10,11]. i) adding separated attapulgite clay to 1.138M acetic acid at liquid / solid ratio 10 to1 v/w in glass container under mechanical stirring (800 rpm) at room temperature for1hour. ii) washing with distilled water until pH values be 7.iii) wet sieving process was applied at 38µm mesh size. Finally, the achieved attapulgite clay was dried at 105°C for (3hours).

2.4 Yield of Process Measurement

The yield of process test aimed to measure the quantity of the obtained clay from each separation process. However; the obtained clay has attapulgite majority, which qualified it to use as viscosifier in oil and gas wells drilling fluid. The yield of process was measured by taking the weight of purified clay to the weight of the input raw and applied in equation 1. The yield of each
Separation process was measured three times and the average result was adopted.

Yield of process $\text{Yield} = \frac{\text{output weight}}{\text{input weight}} \times 100$ (1)

**Figure 2:** Process layout of Dispersant agents’ process.
2.5 Mineral Test

The mineral analysis of produced attapulgite were carried out using X-Ray diffraction test, which implemented by using SHIMADZU X-ray - 6000Diffractometer at Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials Research Center, University of Technology– Iraq. While, the minerals were quantified by using ICDD’s PDF-4+ - Phase Identification & Quantitation software at Iraqi–Germany lab, geology department/Baghdad University – Iraq. The morphology and distribution of attapulgite within samples were diagnosed by HITACHI S-4160 Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope at the electrical and computer engineering department, Tehran University- Islamic Republic of Iran.

2.6 Rheological Properties Measurements

In this work, the rheological properties (apparent viscosity, plastic viscosity, yield point and gel strength) for produced attapulgite was tested by OFITE viscometer model 900 with 12speed. Water-based drilling fluid with 6 wt.% attapulgite was prepared according to API classification. This was done by taking 22.5g of purifielassification (22.5g clay with 350 ml distilled or salt water) using Waring Blender at low speed for 20min. After mixing, the drilling fluid was left to hydrate for 18 hours at room temperature. Later; drilling fluid was re-agitated at low stirring speed for 5 minutes before measuring shear stress versus shear rate of drilling fluid were calculated through equations 2,3 and 4[3]. The test was repeated three times and the average value was adopted.

\[
\text{Apparent viscosity (AV)} = 0.5 \, \Omega600 \, cP \\
\text{Plastic viscosity (PV)} = \Omega600 - \Omega300 \, cP \\
\text{Yield Point (YP)} = 0.511(\Omega300 - \text{PV}) \, \text{pa}
\]

2.7 Yield of Clay Measurement

In this work, yield of clay was identified by measuring apparent viscosity for drilling fluid at different clay concentration (3, 6, 9 and 12 wt %) at fresh and salt water. the relationship between clay content (wt %) and apparent viscosity (cp) was drawn. The clay content (wt %) which intersect with apparent viscosity at 15 cp represents x value [6]. The yield of clay was calculated from equations 5 and 6 for fresh and salt water respectively.

\[
\text{Yield of clay}\left(\frac{570.287}{x}\right) - 3.079
\]

\[
\text{Yield of clay}\left(\frac{556.947}{x}\right) - 2.946
\]
Where \( x = \text{weight of added clay/ (350 cm}^3 \cdot \rho_w \text{g/ cm}^3 + \text{weight of added clay(g)).} \)

3. Result and discussion

3.1 XRD analysis

Table 1 shows the mineral analysis of the raw attapulgite rock, high calcite and quartz contains within attapulgite rock is observed as shown in table 1.

Table 1: The mineral analysis for attapulgite rock

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minerals</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Attapulgite</th>
<th>Illite</th>
<th>Halite</th>
<th>Chlorite</th>
<th>Albite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 shows X-ray diffraction analysis for the obtained raw material after dry sieving at different particle size (38, 53 and 75μm). The results show that dry sieving inefficiently process to concentrate or separate attapulgite clay at certain sieve size, this could be due to many reasons. First, for particles <75 μm (clay particles size<2 μm); the surface forces (cohesion and adhesion) will be dominant therefore clay particles will stick to the sieve and stick to the surface of the quartz particles. Second, the light particle (clay particles) will be attracted to each other causing clay particles agglomeration. Third, its light weight does not provide sufficient force during sieving to fragment those agglomerate [17]. Therefore; fragments quartz remains with attapulgite clay and did not separated. In this work, dry milling contributes in particle size reduction but could not strip clay from quartz particles. So, the dry sieving process has been ruled out as a separation process for attapulgite clay.

For dispersing agents process, table3 shows the mineral analysis for separated raw clay (crushed and milled),in this process, the low quartz percentage (13%) within separated clays (crushed and milled) indicated the success of the dispersing agents process in separating quartz from attapulgite clay. Besides, calcite mineral was existed in high and close percentages for both crushed and milled clay; this is because calcite brittleness made it easy to mill with very fine particle size. Also, calcite mineral has theoretical density (2.7102g/ cm³) approaching the attapulgite density (2.5 g/ cm³) [7,12]. These two reasons made calcite dispersed with attapulgite mineral within suspension at high percentage reaching up to 50%.

Table 2: Mineral analysis of separated sample with dry sieving process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Raw material</th>
<th>Sieve ≤ 75μm</th>
<th>Sieve ≤ 53μm</th>
<th>Sieve ≤ 38μm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palygorskite</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illite</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorite</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1.2.</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albite</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halite</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaolinite</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: The mineral analysis for separated clay by dispersing agent's process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minerals</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>At.</th>
<th>Illite</th>
<th>Halite</th>
<th>Ana</th>
<th>Mo.</th>
<th>Chl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crushed clay ≤ 1cm3</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milled clay ≤ 75µm</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Minerals analysis for obtained clay from wet sieving process.

For wet sieving process; figure 4 shows the high calcite percentage within separated clay, this could be due to two reasons, first wet milling process which reduce calcite particles size below 38μm. Second wet sieving process
remove components with particle size>38 µm specially quartz mineral.

Figure 5 shows mineral analysis for purified attapulgite clay resulted from dispersing agents’ and wet sieving processes. The results show that the acidic treatment with 1.138M acetic acid was sufficient to remove whole calcite from separated clay for both above processes.

![Figure 5: XRD pattern for purified clay (A) dispersing agents process. (B) Wet sieving process.](image)

Moreover, the approached proportion of quartz within the separated clay using dispersing agents and wet sieving processes yields important results: first the quartz particles were in the silt size range. Second dispersing agents and wet sieving processes did not work well to remove whole quartz from clay. This could be due to the quartz particle size. Where, at dispersing agents process; the silt-size allowed the quartz to be suspended with clay within suspension. While, at wet sieving process; the silt-size quartz was passed through out the utilized sieve (38µm). Originally, the existing of quartz with silt size could be due to the forming nature of Bahar Al-najaf region as sedimentary formation for Euphrates river [15].

3.2 FESEM Image Result

The FESEM (Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy) images of the resulted attapulgite from dispersing agents process and wet sieving process are shown in figure 6. These images clarify the impact of separation process on morphology and distribution of attapulgite clay. The images for crushed clay (<1cm³) that resulted from dispersing agents process, showed in Figure 6 (e-h). It can be observed that the attapulgite rods are aligned without any damage as long layered bundles, and they are similar to existing rods in raw attapulgite rock which showed in Figure 6(a-d).

![Figure 6: FE-SEM images for attapulgite rock (a-d). Crushed attapulgite (e-h). milled attapulgite (i-l) resulted by dispersant agents’ process. Attapulgite resulted by wet sieving (m-q).](image)

For milled clay, the attapulgite rods were very short and it is severely damaged as shown in Figure 6(i-l), this result was due to dry milling process by ball mill machine [13]. Indeed, the short rods were agglomerated in different bulks sizes as a result of the physical bonding force.

For the produced attapulgite from wet sieving process, which are shown in Figure 6 (m-q), the attapulgite rods existed as bundles of long rods in addition to bulky agglomerated rods and there were no significant damages seemed on attapulgite rods.

The FESEM images indicate that attapulgite rods produced by wet sieving process were re-agglomerated after wet sieving with38µm mish size but those produced by dispersing agent process were not. This result may be due to the effect of the used dispersing agents that still work even after drying which prevent attapulgite rods re-agglomerating [16].
3.3 Results of Rheological Properties and Yield of Clay.

The rheological properties and yield of attapulgite clay that resulted from dispersing agents and wet sieving processes are shown in Tables 4, 5, and figure7. Dispersing agents process produced attapulgite clay with high apparent viscosity (19.28 and 15 cp) at fresh and salty water respectively for crushed clay raw. While for milled clay raw; the apparent viscosity was (3.15 and3.1 cp) at fresh and salty water respectively. This difference with wide gab in apparent viscosity value was come from the difference in attapulgite aspect ratio (l/d). The FESEM images showed that the crushed clay had long rods with no damage, that means high l/d ratio. While milled clay had very short and damage rods with less aspect ratio (l/d) [1,13]. The effect of aspect ratio(l/d) was reflected on yield of clay, where crushed clay had higher yield of clay than milled clay at fresh and salty water as illustrated in table 4.

Table 4: Rheological properties for attapulgite clay resultant from dispersing agent’s process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>property</th>
<th>D.W</th>
<th>S. W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV (cP)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YP (lbf/100ft2)</td>
<td>15.89</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10S gel (lbf/100ft2)</td>
<td>20.12</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Min. gel (lbf/100ft2)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.V (cP)</td>
<td>19.28</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield of Clay %</td>
<td>101.94</td>
<td>89.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Rheological properties for attapulgite clay resultant from wet sieving process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>property</th>
<th>D.W</th>
<th>S. W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV (cP)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YP (lbf/100ft2)</td>
<td>12.87</td>
<td>10.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10S gel (lbf/100ft2)</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Min. gel (lbf/100ft2)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>8.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.V (cP)</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>12.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield of Clay bbl/ton</td>
<td>94.21</td>
<td>79.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay %</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>6.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Yield of Process Result

The dry sieving failed in separation process therefore its yield was zero. While, the yield of dispersing agents process did not exceed 7% for both mild and crushed clay. So, this process characterized as low yield process comparing to the real clay mineral content more than 36% exists within attapulgite rock. The main reason for

Figure 7: The relation between apparent viscosity and clay content.
this result could be due to the high calcite content which negatively affecting the dispersion of clay mineral causing loose high clay amount [5].

The yield of wet sieving process reached more than 40%. This value presents pure clay mineral plus quartz with silt-size since that quartz with silt size is difficult to washed and separated from clay completely by wet sieving process [18].

Conclusion

Quality and quantity are important criteria in successful decision making to select certain preparation process. Actually, there is no process could reach these criteria, therefore; balancing is very important for any process selection or process layout. In this work, dry sieving, wet sieving and dispersing agents processes were used in attapulgite preparation process to be suitable for oil wells drilling fluids. Many vital decisions were successfully reached in this work:

1- Dry milling is distractive process for attapulgite crystal structure.
2- Dry sieving is unsuccess process in clay separation or concentration process. Thus, it is not recommended.
3- In dispersing agents process, milled clay had low apparent viscosity and classified as moderate clay according to yield of clay [6,19].
4- Wet milling didn’t cause significant damage for attapulgite crystal structure; therefore, it is adopted in attapulgite clay preparation process.
5- Despite of the dispersing agents process had more yield of clay; but it is not recommended because it has low yield of process. While wet sieving process had high yield of clay and higher yield of process.
6- The wet sieving is more acceptable process to prepare Iraqi attapulgite clay for utilizing in oil wells drilling fluid.

**Table 6:** Nomenclature used in the paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AV</th>
<th>Apparent Viscosity</th>
<th>cP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Plastic Viscosity</td>
<td>cP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YP</td>
<td>Yield Point</td>
<td>(lbf/100ft²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø600</td>
<td>dial reading at 600 rpm</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ø300</td>
<td>dial reading at 300 rpm</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References**

معاملة الأتبلغايت العراقي لأستعماله كسائل حفر:دراسة مقارنة

أحمد فليح حسين 1، عبد الخالق فوزي حمود 2، أسامة عبد الرسول الواسطي 3

1 قسم هندسة الأنتاج والمعادن، الجامعة التكنولوجية، بغداد، العراق.
2 قسم هندسة الأنتاج والمعادن، الجامعة التكنولوجية، بغداد، العراق.
3 قسم تكنولوجيا النفط، الجامعة التكنولوجية، بغداد، العراق.

Aff_uot@yahoo.com
نشر في 31 كانون الأول 2020

خلاصة
المعالجة الطينية هي المسؤولة عن نوعية وكمية الطين المنتج. تستخدم المعالجة المائية للحصول على نوعية عالية من الطين. في هذا البحث تم معالجة طين الأتبلغايت العراقي بعملية الغربلة الجافة، الفصل بالعناصر المشتتة، وعملية الغربلة الرطبة. أظهرت النتائج شفاء عملية الغربلة الجافة في الحصول على نوعية عالية من الطين. عملية الفصل بالعناصر المشتتة أنتجت أتبلغايت ذات نوعية عالية (101.49 برميل/طن) في الماء العذب والماء المالح، بينما عملية الغربلة الرطبة أنتجت طين أتبلغايت ذو نوعية (94.21 برميل/طن) في الماء العذب والماء المالح، وتوصي باستخدام عملية الفصل بالعناصر المشتتة في الظروف العملية.
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